



Designation: B577 – 19

Standard Test Methods for Detection of Cuprous Oxide (Hydrogen Embrittlement Susceptibility) in Copper¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation B577; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the U.S. Department of Defense.

1. Scope*

1.1 These test methods describe procedures for determining the presence of cuprous oxide (Cu_2O) in products made from deoxidized and oxygen-free copper.

1.2 The test methods appear in the following order:

	Sections
Microscopical Examination without Thermal Treatment	9 – 11
Microscopical Examination after Thermal Treatment	13 – 15
Closed Bend Test after Thermal Treatment	17 – 19
Reverse Bend Test after Thermal Treatment	21 – 23

1.3 *Units*—The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

E3 Guide for Preparation of Metallographic Specimens

E883 Guide for Reflected-Light Photomicrography

¹ These test methods are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee B05 on Copper and Copper Alloys and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee B05.06 on Methods of Test.

Current edition approved April 1, 2019. Published April 2019. Originally approved in 1973. Last previous edition approved in 2016 as B577–16. DOI: 10.1520/B0577–19.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.1.1 *deoxidized copper, n*—material produced substantially free of cuprous oxide, by the use of metallic or metalloidal deoxidizers, as determined by metallographic examination at 75 $\times$ under polarized light, or dark field illumination. Oxygen may be present as residual deoxidation products.

3.1.2 *oxygen-free copper, n*—electrolytic copper produced substantially free of cuprous oxide without the use of metallic or metalloidal deoxidizers as determined by metallographic examination at 75 $\times$ under polarized light, or dark field illumination. Oxygen may be present up to a maximum of 5 ppm in Copper UNS No. C10100 and 10 ppm in Copper UNS No. C10200.

4. Summary of Test Methods

4.1 The presence of cuprous oxide is determined either by microscopical examination under polarized light, or dark field illumination or by methods that involve heating the test specimens in a hydrogen-rich atmosphere and rapidly cooling the specimens without undue exposure to air followed by a microscopical examination or a suitable bend test.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 These test methods determine whether copper products will be resistant to embrittlement when exposed to elevated temperatures in a reducing atmosphere.

5.1.1 It is assumed that all who use these test methods will be trained personnel capable of performing these procedures skillfully and safely. It is expected that work will be performed in a properly equipped facility.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Test Method A*—Metallographic equipment of the type described in Guide E3 and Guide E883 suitably equipped with polarized light or dark field illuminating capacities.

6.2 *Test Methods B, C, and D:*

6.2.1 Metallographic equipment of the type described in Guide E3 and Guide E883 provided with normal illumination.

6.2.2 A furnace of sufficient capacity, capable of maintaining the required reducing atmosphere while the specimens are

*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

being heated. A rapid cooling device using either water or a reducing atmosphere is required.

6.2.3 A machinist vise with replaceable matching pairs of jaw mandrels of various radii contours.

7. Sampling

7.1 Sampling shall be in accordance with the requirements of the specification under which the material was ordered.

8. Test Specimens

8.1 Longitudinal specimens, that is, specimens whose axes are parallel to the direction of working are preferable. However, equally reliable results can be obtained with specimens in which the axis is perpendicular to the direction of working.

8.2 Specimens shall be of dimensions suitable for the performance of the required tests. Where necessary to cut a specimen from an oversize piece of material, at least one of the original surfaces of the material shall be retained in the test specimen. Suggested dimensions for test specimens for Procedures C or D are given in the following table:

Wrought Products	Suggested Dimensions for Test Specimens
Flats (wire, strip, sheets, bar, and plate)	thickness—that of the product but should not exceed ½ in. (13 mm) width—approx. ½ in. (13 mm) length—approx. 6 in. (152 mm)
Shapes and forgings	To the extent that the dimensions of the material permit, the dimensions of the test specimens are those suggested for the flat products specimens. (Where the product dimensions, particularly length, as in the case of forgings, do not permit taking a specimen, the total product may then become the test specimen for examination by Procedures A or B.)
Wire or rod	diameter or distance between parallel surfaces—that of the product but not to exceed ½ in. (13 mm) length—approx. 6 in. (152 mm)
Tubular products: Diameter or distance between parallel surfaces:	
Up to ⅝ in. (8 mm), incl.	full section of tube, approx 6 in. (152 mm) long
Over ⅝ in. (8 mm) to 1 in. (25.4 mm), incl.	a slit half section of the tube, approx 6 in. (152 mm) long
Over 1 in. (25.4 mm)	a slit section approx ½ in. (13 mm) wide and 6 in. (152 mm) long taken either transverse or parallel to the tube axis
Refinery shapes	a 0.080-in. (2.03-mm) diameter wire specimen made by forging, swaging, hot rolling, and cold drawing as may be necessary

8.3 All specimens made by cutting from larger stock shall have their corners or edges deburred to a slight radius before testing.

TEST METHOD A—MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION WITHOUT THERMAL TREATMENT

9. Scope

9.1 This test method describes a procedure by which the presence of cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement susceptibility) is determined by polarized light or dark field microscopy examination at a minimum magnification of 75×.

10. Procedure

10.1 The test specimens taken transverse to and bounded by an original surface of the material are mounted and polished in accordance with Guide E3.

10.1.1 Photomicrographs, when taken, are prepared in accordance with Guide E883.

10.2 The polished, but unetched, surface of the specimens are examined under reflected polarized light or dark field illumination at a minimum magnification of 75×.

10.2.1 Cuprous oxide will appear as ruby-red particles when viewed under polarized light.

10.2.2 Cuprous oxide will appear as blue particles under bright field illumination using white light.

11. Application

11.1 This test method is applicable to Copper UNS Nos. C10100, C10200, C10300, C10400, C10500, C10700, C11700, and C12000.

12. Precision and Bias

12.1 A precision and bias statement is not applicable since the results of these test methods merely indicate whether there is conformation to a criterion for success specified in the particular procedure.

TEST METHOD B—MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION AFTER THERMAL TREATMENT

13. Scope

13.1 This test method describes a procedure by which the presence of cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement susceptibility) is determined by microscopical examination under normal illumination at a minimum magnification of 75× after thermal treatment of the specimens.

14. Procedure

14.1 Heat the cleaned and degreased specimens which retain at least one original surface for 20 to 40 min in an atmosphere of at least 10 % hydrogen within a furnace held at a temperature of 1562 ± 45 °F (850 ± 25 °C).

14.2 After the heat treatment, immediately remove and quench the specimens in water without undue exposure to air or quickly cool the specimens in the same atmosphere.

14.3 The test specimens taken transverse to and bounded by an original surface of the treated material are mounted and polished, and etched when desired, in accordance with Guide E3.

14.3.1 Photomicrographs, when taken, shall be prepared in accordance with Guide E883.

14.4 Cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement) when present in the material tested will manifest itself by the open grain structure (gassing) characteristic of embrittlement. For example, the grain structure is outlined by a series of voids at the grain boundary.

14.5 In case of controversy concerning the presence or absence of cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement), Test Method C or D, as specified in the product specification, shall be followed.

15. Application

15.1 The test method is applicable to Copper UNS Nos. C10100, C10200, C10400, C10500, C10700, C10800, C11700, C12000, C12200, and C14200.

16. Precision and Bias

16.1 A precision and bias statement is not applicable since the results of these test methods merely indicate whether there is conformation to a criterion for success specified in the particular procedure.

TEST METHOD C—CLOSED BEND TEST AFTER THERMAL TREATMENT

17. Scope

17.1 This test method describes a procedure by which the presence of cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement) is determined by bending thermally treated specimens into a flattened “U” shape.

18. Procedure

18.1 Heat the cleaned and degreased specimens that retain at least one of the original surfaces for a period of 20 to 40 min in an atmosphere of at least 10 % hydrogen within a furnace held at a temperature of $1562 \pm 45^\circ\text{F}$ ($850 \pm 25^\circ\text{C}$).

18.2 After the heat treatment, immediately remove and quench the specimens in water without undue exposure to air or quickly cool the specimens in the same atmosphere.

18.3 Flatten all tubular specimens, after the thermal treatment, in a vise or press with smooth surfaces, to twice the wall thickness, before bending.

18.4 Make the bend test at ambient temperature as indicated in Fig. 1 with an original surface of the material on the outside of the bend.

18.5 Bend the test specimen in such a manner as to form a “U” with the final closure being made by squeezing the legs of the “U” together.

18.5.1 The formation of cracks on the outside surface of the bend are evidence of the presence of cuprous oxide in the copper.



FIG. 1 Bend Test

19. Application

19.1 This test method is applicable to Copper UNS Nos. C10100, C10200, C10300, C10400, C10500, C10700, C11700, and C12000.

20. Precision and Bias

20.1 A precision and bias statement is not applicable since the results of these test methods merely indicate whether there is conformation to a criterion for success specified in the particular procedure.

TEST METHOD D—REVERSE BEND TEST AFTER THERMAL TREATMENT

21. Scope

21.1 This test method describes a procedure in which the presence of cuprous oxide (hydrogen embrittlement) is determined by subjecting thermally treated specimens to a predetermined number of bends.

22. Procedure

22.1 Heat the cleaned and degreased specimens that retain at least one of the original surfaces for a period of 20 to 40 min in an atmosphere of at least 10 % hydrogen within a furnace held at a temperature of $1562 \pm 45^\circ\text{F}$ ($850 \pm 25^\circ\text{C}$).

22.2 After the heat treatment, immediately remove and quench the specimens in water without undue exposure to air or quickly cool the specimens in the same atmosphere.

22.3 At ambient temperature, clamp the specimen lightly between jaws with edges having a radius of two and a half times the thickness (or diameter) of the material being tested.

22.3.1 An original surface of the material shall be so positioned as to be on the outer bend radius.

22.4 Bend the specimen over one edge of the clamp jaws through an angle of 90° and return the specimen to the original position; this constitutes one bend.

22.5 Bend the specimen in the opposite direction through an angle of 90° and return the specimen to the original position; this constitutes a second bend.

22.6 Continue making bends in alternating directions until the required number of bends have been made or the specimen fractures.

22.7 Failure to withstand the required minimum number of bends is evidence of the presence of cuprous oxide.

23. Application

23.1 This test method is applicable to Copper UNS Nos. C10100, C10200, C10300, C10400, C10500, C10700, and C12000.

24. Precision and Bias

24.1 A precision and bias statement is not applicable since the results of these test methods merely indicate whether there is conformation to a criterion for success specified in the particular procedure.

25. Keywords

25.1 cuprous oxide in copper; embrittlement; hydrogen embrittlement of copper; test methods ; UNS Alloy No. C10100; UNS Alloy No. C10200; UNS Alloy No. C10300;

UNS Alloy No. C10400; UNS Alloy No. C10500; UNS Alloy No. C10700; UNS Alloy No. C11700; UNS Alloy No. C12000; UNS Alloy No. C12200; UNS Alloy No. C12400; UNS Alloy No. C14200

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee B05 has identified the principal changes to these test methods that have been incorporated since the 2016 issue as follows:

(1) The following sections were adjusted to include the use of optional dark field illuminations: 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 4.1, 6.1, 9.1, 10.2, 10.2.1, and 10.2.2.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>



Standard Method for Pinhole Determination in Aluminum and Aluminum Alloy Plain Foil by Means of a Light Table¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation B926; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

1.1 This method covers the identification and counting of pinholes, including roll holes, in plain foil using a light table, and inspector with normal 20/20 or corrected 20/20 vision, and a darkened inspection area.

1.2 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.3 *This method may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

B881 Terminology Relating to Aluminum- and Magnesium-Alloy Products

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Refer to Terminology **B881** for definitions of product terms used in this specification.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *calibration*—determination of the values of the significant parameter(s) by comparison with a value(s) indicated by a reference instrument or by a set of reference standards.

3.2.2 *pinhole*—any small void in the foil that will permit the transmission of light. Classification criteria for pinholes limits these voids to those that are too small in size to be clearly visible to the unaided eye (1 $\times$ visual inspection).

3.2.3 *roll hole*—the producer's classification of a pinhole caused by an imperfection on any type of roll the foil contacts during production. The distinguishing feature of a roll hole(s) is the repeatability of this void on a regular interval. This interval is directly proportional to the circumference of the roll causing the void (this interval will also increase proportionally to subsequent thickness reductions).

4. Summary of Method

4.1 This method contains guidelines for determining the number of pinholes present in the area with the highest pinhole concentration in a sample of foil.

4.2 A full width sample is placed on the light table, and the pinholes are counted in a sample of known area (five or more square feet).

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Foil in thickness of about 0.002 in. (0.05 mm) and heavier is virtually free of pinholes. With decrease in thickness, the number of pinholes may increase.

5.2 This method is provided to develop and maintain uniformity in the methods of evaluating pinholes in aluminum foil. The pinhole data provides assessments of process capability and quality levels of the foil as well.

6. Apparatus

6.1 A light table which provides illumination between 1500 and 1800 lux light intensity with a smooth and uniform inspection surface on which the foil is examined. The light table must be in a black booth or in an area where the lights are turned off to provide darkness of less than 50 lux. The light table should be able to accommodate the widest width of foil to be examined. The usual construction of the light table consists of a shallow box painted white on the inside with rows of fluorescent lights and with a frosted or milk glass covering to provide uniform light diffusion.

6.2 One or more counting frames (grids) that have openings of one square foot (one square decimeter for SI counts).

6.3 Sheet of foil to be tested.

6.4 Calibration of the necessary light intensities can be accomplished using a standard light meter.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee B07 on Light Metals and Alloys and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee B07.03 on Aluminum Alloy Wrought Products.

Current edition approved Dec. 1, 2009. Published January 2010. Originally approved in 2003. Last previous edition approved in 2003 as B926 – 03. DOI: 10.1520/B0926-09.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

7. Procedure

7.1 Test a full-width representative sample with a minimum of five square feet. Examine the full width of the sheet. The foil gage should be within tolerance.

7.2 Place the foil sample on the light table carefully to avoid wrinkling/creasing with the long direction of the bulbs perpendicular to the rolling direction of the foil and turn the lights on in the light table and off in the booth or area. Allow a reasonable time for eyes to adjust to darkened conditions.

7.3 If necessary, move the foil on the light table until the entire width has been examined. (Move the foil carefully and as little as possible because moving the sample may create voids in the sample.)

7.4 If a counting frame is used, place it over the area and count the holes. The person should be approximately 18 in. from the sample when counting.

7.5 Record the count.

8. Report

8.1 The report shall include the following:

8.1.1 Identification of the roll from which the sample was taken,

8.1.2 The average number of pinholes per square foot of sample,

8.1.3 Gage of the foil sample, and

8.1.4 Sample lot identification.

9. Precision and Bias

9.1 *Precision*—It is not practicable to specify the precision of the procedure in Method B926 for counting pinholes because as the gage decreases, their variability and number increase.

9.2 *Bias*—Since the vision of the inspector(s) are the most significant aspect of bias in counting pinholes, it is recommended that samples of known counts be used routinely to verify if bias exists. Operating outside of the range of light intensities stated will also cause biased counts.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee B07 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (B926 – 03) that may impact the use of this standard. (Approved Dec. 1, 2009.)

(1) ASTM references B373, B479 and E252 are not referenced in this document and were removed from Section 2.

(2) ASTM B881 was added to Section 2 and referenced in Section 3.

(3) Foil was removed from Section 3.2, because it is included in ASTM B881.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).